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ABSTRACT

Research suggests that high employee engagement has a strong positive impact on organizational performance. When employees work in teams and actively contribute to organizational purpose, employee engagement improves and performance outcomes benefit. To realize an employee’s potential in a team, managers must craft deliberate work relationships. The focus on the whole, or systems thinking, allows managers to capitalize on and appreciate differences in work behaviors. This study examines team chemistry, in which Deloitte’s preferred work styles known as Pioneers, Drivers, Integrators, and Guardians, are examined for ideal fit to increase organizational performance. Addressing the organization’s system, and the team’s ideal structure, drives higher rates of employee engagement. This study includes an examination of two different work teams inside one organization. The study compares performance outcomes from one team applying a systems-thinking approach, versus a team void of these considerations. This research adds to the body of work that investigates effective employee engagement strategies for improved organizational performance.
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Hypothesis

The implementation of systems thinking when developing organizational teams, and the consideration of individual work style preferences, will result in stronger team chemistry and improved organizational performance.

Overview of the Problem

Employee Disengagement
Underperforming Work Teams
Ingenuine Workplace Collaboration
Lack of Thought Diversity
Disenfranchised Organizational Teams
Disharmonious Work Practices
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Review of the Applicable Scientific Theories & Supportive Literature
Challenges in Managing Groups & Teams

- Communication
- Conflict
- Role Ambiguity
- Clear Expectations
- Work Style Preferences
Workplace Motivators

- Challenge
- Growth
- Competency
- Strengths Alignment

Intrinsic vs. Extrinsic

**MOTIVATION**

- Intrinsic:
  - Knowledge
  - Passion
  - Growth
  - Mastery
  - Self Worth
  - Purpose
  - Autonomy

- Extrinsic:
  - Deadlines
  - Money
  - Status
  - Competition
  - Rewards
  - Punishment
  - Failure

Improve Job Satisfaction & Effective Organizational Performance

Herzberg (1987)
Maximizing Motivation & Employee Engagement with MAP

Mastery: Utilizing STRENGTHS!

Autonomy: Freedom to be self-directed

Purpose: Aligning values & principles across people, processes, and performance management

Herzberg (1987)
Mastery: Utilizing STRENGTHS!

The urge to improve skills and offer competency advantage to an organization
Strengths-based leadership

- “The task of leadership is to create an alignment of strengths that make a system’s weaknesses irrelevant.”

- “The task of leadership is to create an alignment of strengths that make a system’s weaknesses irrelevant.”


- “The task of leadership is to create an alignment of strengths that make a system’s weaknesses irrelevant.”

A winning formula for lasting high team performance

Talent x Engagement x Tenure = High Performance

Utilize the Skills & Competencies
Engage through Motivation, Purpose & Relatedness
Improve Retention & Internal Succession
Relatedness = Systems Thinking
Self Determination Theory

Three core needs contribute to self-esteem and psychological well-being

- Competence (the Mastery)
- Autonomy (the Freedom)
- Relatedness: positive relationships and a sense of connection with others.

Deci, E.L. (1971)
The Case for Optimizing Human Capital

Human Talent can impact outcomes in a direct and tangible way.

Strengths-based cultures see 8% higher revenue per employee compared with the average.

When individuals leverage their natural talents in their team roles, greater profitability follows.

Workgroups that receive strengths-based development achieve an increase in profit of as much as 29%.

To achieve this optimization, we must change the way people work!

Source: Gallup, 2018
Hypothetical Solution to the Problem

Hypothesis

The implementation of systems thinking when developing organizational teams, and the consideration of individual work style preferences, will result in stronger team chemistry and improved organizational performance.

The Application of:

I. Systems Thinking

II. Work Style Preferences
I. Overview of Systems Thinking

Characterized by its holistic approach to entities and phenomena

Focuses on the relation between parts, each’s objectives

Pursues each part’s function in relation to organizational objectives

Parts of the whole are considered for their “Relatedness”

Ackhoff (1974)
Senge (1990)
The Modeling Method

Systems thinking can be used as a modeling method to meaningfully and more intersubjectively discuss team performance.

Mooney & Soltanzadeh, 2016
Operationalizing Systems Thinking

Studies indicate systems thinking principles can become a valuable foundation for managing a high-performing organization.

To operationalize systems thinking: Start treating problems in the organization as the problems of the system and start looking for system-integrated solutions.

Proposed Solution: Construct Work Teams with complementary Work Style Preferences

Diversity in Strengths: Differing Work Styles improve cooperation, team objectives/outcomes, and organizational performance.

Senge, P. (1990)
Tate, C. (2015)
II. WORK STYLES PREFERENCES: 
THE SCIENCE OF TEAMS IN ORGANIZATIONS

Pioneers, Drivers, Integrators & Guardians

Pioneers – Pioneers see the big picture and like to go with their gut

Guardians – Guardians are the protectors of order; they like stability

Drivers – Drivers are results-oriented; comfortable with challenge

Integrators – Integrators prize connection and draw teams together

Every team is a mix of the 4 Work Style Types.

Getting the best out of any combination.

Delegating to the Work Styles

PIONEER = have these group members solve the WHY?  
(focus on vision, big picture)

DRIVER = have these group members solve the WHAT?  
(focus on results, goals)

GUARDIAN = have these group members solve the HOW?  
(focus on planning, details)

INTEGRATOR = have these group members solve the WHO?  
(focus on relationship, teamwork)

Align: Create synergy by pairing opposites and capitalizing on differences

Stapper, B. (2018)
How Work Styles Impact Team Chemistry

Recognizing WHO to delegate team functions to

Identifying WHAT each style is

Energized by

Arranging WHEN work styles should collaborate or individually contribute

Supporting HOW teams interact and collaborate & avoiding divisiveness

Providing WHY with collective purpose

How Can You Get the Most Out of Each Style on Your Team?

Know what gets them excited—and what they find off-putting.

**PIONEER**

Energized by:
- Brainstorming
- Spontaneity and trying new things
- Enthusiasm

Alienated by:
- Rules and structure
- The word “no”
- A focus on process

**INTEGRATOR**

Energized by:
- Collaboration
- Communication
- Trust and respect

Alienated by:
- Politics
- Conflict
- Inflexibility

**DRIVER**

Energized by:
- Solving problems
- Directness
- Winning

Alienated by:
- Indecision
- Inefficiency
- Lack of focus

**GUARDIAN**

Energized by:
- Organization
- Predictability and consistency
- A detailed plan

Alienated by:
- Disorder
- Time pressure
- Ambiguity and uncertainty

FROM “PIONEERS, DRIVERS, INTEGRATORS, & GUARDIANS,” BY SUZANNE M. JOHNSON VICKBERG AND KIM CHRISTFORT, MARCH–APRIL 2017 © HBR.ORG
Population: 6 predetermined groups of graduate students participating in a predetermined group project for the duration of a course during one term.

Hypothesis: The implementation of systems thinking when developing organizational teams, and the consideration of individual work style preferences, will result in stronger team chemistry and improved organizational performance.

Data Collection: Survey all participants in advance of the group project. Questionnaire will ask participants to rate self-perception of valuableness in group work. Questions on a Likert scale. Same questionnaire will be given to participants at the conclusion of the course for data comparison.


One half of the class (3 of the 6 groups) will receive team assignments established by pairing opposites and complementary functionality of Work Style Preferences assessment results. The other half of the class will self-organize, with no consideration of Work Style preferences. Researcher Observation and Interviewing will occur.
Future Research Interests related to this study

- Investigating the role of Social Proof in Team Chemistry
- Implications of Second Order Thinking on Team Chemistry
- The role of Positive and Negative Feedback Loops in Team Chemistry

Parrish, S. (2016)
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TEAMWORK
coming together is a beginning
keeping together is progress
working together is success

- Henry Ford